Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,744	BROWN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Emily Bernhardt</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Jacqueline Cohen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 February 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection under par. two for C5 aryl.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Emily Bernhardt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner left a voicemail message regarding the after final response. It removes the rejection under par.one but not the par. two rejection. While cyclopentadiene in anionic form is aromatic, the moiety is not consistent with "aryl" definition given in the spec which is to an aromatic compound minus a H radical such as phenyl, naphthyl, etc. It is not otherwise described as part of the "aryl" definition. The examiner suggest C5 be replaced by C6 which can be done via an Examiner's Amendment. Ms. Cohen returned the examiner's call and also left a voicemail message indicating the C5-14 aryl choice be amended to C6-C14 as per the examiner's suggestion.